Top 5 PostgreSQL Query Tuning Tips!

Senior Database Consultant

Janis Griffin





Who Am I?

Current – 30+ Years in Oracle®, DB2®, ASE, SQL Server®, MySQL®, PostgreSQL

DBA and Developer

- Specialize in Performance Tuning
- Customers Common Question: How do I tune it?





Janis.Griffin@quest.com X - @DoBoutAnything



Agenda

Quesť

Challenges of Tuning

• My 5 Tips

- Monitor Wait Time
- Review the Explain Plan
- Gather Object Information
- Find the Driving Table
- Engineer out the Stupid
- Several Case Studies

Challenges of Tuning

- SQL Tuning is Hard
 - Who should tune DBA or Developer
 - Which SQL to tune

• Requires Expertise in Many Areas

- Technical Plan, Data Access, SQL Design
- Business What is the Purpose of SQL?
- Tuning Takes Time
 - Large Number of SQL Statements
 - Each Statement is Different
- Low Priority in Some Companies
 - Vendor Applications
 - Focus on Hardware or System Issues
- Never Ending

Ioper

I THINK WE SHOULD

BUILD AN SQL



DOES HE UNDERSTAND



WHAT COLOR DO YOU

I THINK

WANT THAT DATABASE

Monitor Wait Time – All levels

Foglight Cloud $\otimes \equiv$ ♠ Databases > PostgreSQL Server: jgss2017.bglx5qxmyysubhgtflk5hdddye.gx.internal.cloudapp.net:5432 > SQL PI 🛞 Time Period: Wednesday, Aug 28, 2024 03:58 PM - 10:01 PM 6 hours 🗸 📗 🔤 Reports 🗸 RestgreSQL-PI@jgss2017.bglx5gxmyysubhgtflk5hdddye.gx.internal.cloudapp.net_5432 🕶 Overview SQL PI Databases Tables Connections **BG Writer** Locks Configuration Tablespaces Replication ● Workload | ● Client 20% | ● I/O 47% | ● IPC 0% | ● LWLock 0% | ● Lock 17% | ● Other 0% | ● Process Time 16% | ① Instance Top 50 🕶 > Statements PostareSOL-PI@jass2017.balx5axmvvsubhatflk5hdddve.g... > 🚯 Databases > 🙁 Users Breakdown Baseline Resource Breakdown it → Explore top wait events > 🔁 Client Machines 20 > 🗔 Programs > 🕞 Sessions 15 160.48K Backend Type econds/s 10 IPC Wait 0.05% 5 LWLock Wait 0.01% I/O Wait 47.2% Ω Client Wait 19.78% 16:00 16:30 17:00 17:30 18:00 18:30 19:00 19:30 20:00 20:30 21:00 21:30 22:00 < 1/2 > د⊿ ⊀⊿ ₽7 Elapsed Time -Executions -Used Connections -Hide Legend 😔 75 30000 1000 ections 50 0 20000 cution 5 3 10000 500 Instance Information 🗸 🗸 25 Availability: 100% 0 Name Type 18:00 18:00 20:00 22:00 16:00 18:00 22:00 16:00 22:00 16:00 20:00 jgss2017a.. PostgreSQL Version Host jgss2017.b... Workload Metrics 16.2 ~

lipct

Monitor Wait Time – Statement Level Quest

♠ Databases > > PostgreSQL I	Databases 🗲 SQL PI			🛞 Time Period: Wednesday, Aug	28, 2024 03:33 PM - 10:48 PM 7.2 hours 👻	Reports -
PostgreSQL-PI@jgss2017.bglx5q	xmyysubhgtflk5hdddye.gx.internal.cloud	lapp.net_5432 • Overview	SQL PI Databases Tables	Connections BG Writer Lock	s Configuration Tablespaces	Replication
Instance Top 25 -	● Workload ● Client 20% ● I,	/O 47% 🔵 IPC 0% 🔵 LWLock	0% • Lock 17% • Other 0%	Process Time 16%		
〜 覿 Statements > SELECT t.relid as reli	PostgreSQL-PI@jgss2017.bglx5qxm	nyysubhgtflk5hdddye				
> alter table t_ontime	Blocked Sessions					~
> CALL public.get_pop						
> SELECT i.relid as tabl	Duration Y				Search	Q 🖳
> drop index pk_city > 🚱 Databases	Start Date	Session Blocked By	Status Duration ↓	Program DB User	SQL Text	
> 🙎 Users	✓ 08/28/2024 1:00:00 PM 2	2156 (2024	Blocking 1.24M	psql postgres	fetch all in "pop_flights";	
> ြ Client Machines	> 08/28/2024 1:00:00 9	9220 (2024 2156	Blocked 1.24M	psql postgres	alter table t_ontime drop constr	ai
> 🔄 Programs	✓ 08/28/2024 12:00:00 PM 9	9788 (2024	Blocking 916.28K	psql postgres	fetch all in "pop_flights";	
> 🔂 Backend Type	08/28/2024 12:00:00 9	9220 (2024 9788	Blocked 916.28K	psql postgres	alter table t_ontime drop constru	ai
	✓ 08/28/2024 1:00:00 PM 9	9788 (2024	Blocking 621.24K	psql postgres	fetch all in "pop_flights";	
	08/28/2024 1:00:00 9	9220 (2024 9788	Blocked 621.24K	psql postgres	alter table t_ontime drop constra	ai
Instance Information 🗸	✓ 08/28/2024 12:00:00 PM 2	2156 (2024	Blocking 615.8K	psql postgres	fetch all in "pop_flights";	
Availability: 100%	> 08/28/2024 12:00:00 9	2220 (2024 2156	Blocked 615.8K	psql postgres	alter table t_ontime drop constr	ai
Name Type jgss2017a PostgreSQL	✓ 08/28/2024 2:00:00 PM 2	2156 (2024	Blocking 370.38K	psql postgres	fetch all in "pop_flights";	
Version Host 16.2 jgss2017.b	> 08/28/2024 2:00:00 9	2220 (2024 2156	Blocked 370.38K	psql postgres	drop index pk_city_market;	

Review the Explain Plan



EXPLAIN Command - explain description & options

Gives estimated costs (start_up / total cost) as it doesn't actually run it

```
dvdrental=# explain select * from film where title like '%Braveheart%';
                                  QUERY PLAN
    Seq Scan on film (cost=0.00..76.50 rows=1 width=384)
    Filter: ((title)::text ~~ '%Braveheart%'::text)
(2 rows)
```

- EXPLAIN analyze what is explain cost
 - Executes the query so actual run time statistics are shown

```
dvdrental=# explain analyze select * from film where title like '%Braveheart%';
                      QUERY PLAN
Seq Scan on film (cost=0.00..76.50 rows=1 width=384) (actual time=0.064..0.421 rows=1 loops=1)
Filter: ((title)::text ~~ '%Braveheart%'::text)
Rows Removed by Filter: 999
Planning Time: 0.157 ms
Execution Time: 0.450 ms
(5 rows)
```

Examine the Explain Plan

Find Expensive Operators

Examine costs and row counts (shows the # of rows processed – not what it evaluated)

liest

- Need to look at # rows removed
- Gives an estimate of resources (CPU and disk I/O)
- Look for Seq Scan or Index Scan

Review the Filter Conditions

- Know which step filtering predicate is applied

Review Join Methods

- Nested Loops join: Usually efficient for smaller data sets
- Hash Join: Useful on very large data sets (DW)
- Merge Join: Efficient for larger data sets

Explain Plan - Look for Common MistakesJest

Identify Common Mistakes

- Using functions on indexed columns
 - o In WHERE, ON & HAVING clause
 - o Create a Functional Index instead
 - > Create index lower_title_idx on film(lower(title));
- Nested views
 - o One view calling or joining to other views
- Use of cursors or row by row processing
- Missing or Poor Indexing
- Problems Outside of the Plan
 - Missing or stale statistics
 - Database misconfiguration
 - No database constraints

Graphical Explain Plan



\odot	Foglight Cloud	Virtual Lab	Search			Q		⊗ ≡
•••	Execution Plan							Download Plan
*	Zoom + 100% -			0.17 execution time (ms)	0.57 0.02 planning time (ms) slowest node (ms)	23.56 costliest node	SQL Text	
Ĉ Ŭ			AGGREGATE	<1 ms 1 %			Name Qu SELECT MIN(c.relname) as name, i 5c9 nhparent as parent, array_agg(i 58f	ery 10 }9b7d4aea86e72412362a6092e f2
8			by i.inhparent largest bad estimate	8			SQL Text	Г Сору
55			SORT	<1 ms 14 %			MIN(c.relname) as name, inhparent as parent,	
ĉ			slowest largest bad e	estimate			FROM pg_catalog.pg_inherits i	
<u> </u>			HASH JOIN Right join	<1 ms 8 %			pg_catalog.pg_class c on (
			on (c.relfilenode = i.inh largest bad estimate	parent)) GROUP BY	
		SEQ SCAN	<1 ms 0 %	IASH <1 ms	2 %		imparent	
6		on pg_catalog costliest lar	J.pg_class (c) 🗧 🛛	argest bad estimate	8		Explain Plan Download SQL Tex	t
o ,			S	EQ SCAN <1 ms in pg_catalog.pg_inherits (i)	2 %			
\$;			C	argest bad estimate				
ł								
Ļ								

Gather Object Information

Quest

Understand objects in explain plans

- Table Definitions & Sizes
 - $_{\rm O}$ Is it a View?
 - > Get underlying definition
 - o Number of Rows / Partitioning?
- Examine Columns in Where Clause
 - Know the Cardinality of columns
 - Is there Data Skew
 - > Consider partial index
 - $\,\circ\,$ Are there indexes on the join / filtering columns
- Index & Constraint Definitions
 - \circ Entity Relationship Diagrams (ERDs) can help

Statistics Collection Configuration

Analyze / Vacuum







Who registered yesterday for SQL Tuning Class?



Who registered yesterday for SQL Tuninguest

PREPARE billing (timestamp,timestamp) as

SELECT s.fname, s.lname, r.signup_date

FROM test.student s

INNER JOIN test.registration r ON s.student_id = r.student_id

INNER JOIN test.class c ON r.class_id = c.class_id

WHERE c.name = 'SQL TUNING'

AND r.signup_date BETWEEN \$1 AND \$2

AND r.cancelled ='N';



Statement Summary		
Server	jgss2017.internal.cloudapp.net:5432	*
Query ID	3075482329797333000	
User	postgres	
Database	test	
Calls	5.4 K	
Avg Time	45 ms	
Total Time	24.5 min	
Avg Rows	52.3	
Query	PREPARE billing (timestamp,timestamp) as SELECT s.fname, s.lname, r.signup_date FROM test.student s INNER JOIN test.registration r ON s.student_id = r.student_id INNER JOIN test.class c ON r.class_id = c.class_id WHERE c.name = \$3 AND r.signup_date BETWEEN \$1 AND \$2 AND r.cancelled = \$4	+

Prepared Statements & PL/pgSQL functions use Cached Plans

- Postgres does not automatically cache plans for standard SQL statements

```
test=‡
test=# explain (GENERIC PLAN) SELECT s.fname, s.lname, r.signup date
test-# FROM test.student s
test-# INNER JOIN test.registration r ON s.student id = r.student id
test-# INNER JOIN test.class c ON r.class_id = c.class_id
test-# WHERE c.name = 'SQL TUNING'
test-# AND r.signup date BETWEEN $1 AND $2
QUERY PLAN
Nested Loop (cost=0.29..1950.45 rows=1 width=35)
   -> Nested Loop (cost=0.00..1948.11 rows=1 width=13)
        Join Filter: (r.class id = c.class id)
        -> Seq Scan on registration r (cost=0.00..1909.67 rows=398 width=18)
              Filter: ((signup_date >= $1) AND (signup_date <= $2) AND (cancelled = 'N'::bpchar))
        -> Materialize (cost=0.00..26.51 rows=2 width=5)
              -> Seq Scan on class c (cost=0.00..26.50 rows=2 width=5)
                   Filter: ((name)::text = 'SQL TUNING'::text)
   -> Index Scan using pk student on student s (cost=0.29..2.33 rows=1 width=32)
        Index Cond: (student id = r.student id)
(10 rows)
```

Explain (Analyze, Buffers)

explain (analyze, buffers) SELECT s.fname, s.lname, r.signup date FROM test.student s INNER JOIN test.registration r ON s.student id = r.student id PKs / FKs only INNER JOIN test.class c ON r.class id = c.class id WHERE c.name = 'SQL TUNING' AND r.signup date BETWEEN '2014-03-21 00:00:00' and '2014-03-28 00:00' AND r cancelled ='N'; QUERY PLAN Nested Loop (cost=26.81..2045.81 rows=67 width=35) (actual time=1.181..32.482 rows=57 loops=1) Buffers: shared hit=695 -> Hash Join (cost=26.52..2023.92 rows=67 width=13) (actual time=1.159..31.964 rows=57 loops=1) Hash Cond: (r.class id = c.class id) Buffers: shared hit=524 -> Seq Scan on registration r (cost=0.00..1909.67 rows=33279 width=18) (actual time=0.030..24.081 rows=33259 loops=1) Filter: ((signup date >= '2014-03-21 00:00'::timestamp without time zone) AND (signup date <= '2014-03-28 00:00:00'::timestamp without time zone) AND (cancelled = 'N'::bpchar)) Rows Removed by Filter: 46722 Buffers: shared hit=510 -> Hash (cost=26.50..26.50 rows=2 width=5) (actual time=0.256..0.257 rows=2 loops=1) Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 9kB Buffers: shared hit=14 -> Seq Scan on class c (cost=0.00..26.50 rows=2 width=5) (actual time=0.043..0.251 rows=2 loops=1) Filter: ((name)::text = 'SQL TUNING'::text) Rows Removed by Filter: 998 Buffers: shared hit=14 -> Index Scan using pk student on student s (cost=0.29..0.33 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=0.007..0.007 rows=1 loops=57) Index Cond: (student id = r.student id) Buffers: shared hit=171 Planning: Buffers: shared hit=20 Planning Time: 0.693 ms Execution Time: 32.553 ms

lest

Review Table & Indexes



test=# \d test	t.registration					
	Table "test.registr	ation"				
Column	Туре	Collation	Nullable	Default		
student_id	numeric(18,0)		+ 	+		# of Rows
class_1d cancelled	character(1)					class 1,000
Indexes:			I	1		student 10,000
"pk regist	tration" UNIQUE CONSTRAINT, btr	ee (student	id, class	id, signup date)		
Foreign-key co	onstraints:					registration 79,981
"registrat "registrat	tion_class_id_fkey" FOREIGN KEY tion_student_id_fkey" FOREIGN K	((class_id) (EY (student ₋	REFERENCES _id) REFERE	test.class(class_id NCES test.student(st	d) udent_id)	L

test=# SELECT 1	tablename, indexna indexname	ne FROM p	g_indexes WHERE ta	blename	in ('class'	','student','re	gistration');		
student	pk student	test= ‡ ∖	di+ test.*			List of rela	tions		
class	pk_class	Schema	Name	Туре	Owner	Table	Persistence	Access method	Size
(3 rows)	pk_registration	test	pk_class	index	postgres	class	permanent	btree	56 kB
		test (3 rows)	pk_registration pk_student	index index	postgres	student	permanent	btree	304 kB

Find the Driving table

Quesť

Need to know the size of the actual data sets in each step

- In Joins (Right, Left, Outer)
- What are the filtering predicates
- When is each filtering predicate applied

 \circ Try to filter earlier rather than later

- Compare size of final result set with # of rows at each step
- Find the driving table

```
    To reduce buffers (I/O)
    SELECT s.fname, s.lname, r.signup_date
FROM student s
INNER JOIN registration r ON s.student_id = r.student_id
INNER JOIN class c ON r.class_id = c.class_id
    Joins
    WHERE c.name = 'SQL TUNING'
AND r.signup_date BETWEEN $1 AND $2
AND r.cancelled = 'N'
```

SQL Diagramming



Great Book "SQL Tuning" by Dan Tow

- Oldie but a goodie that teaches SQL Diagramming
- http://www.singingsql.com



select count(1) from registration where cancelled = 'N'
and signup_date between `2022-12-10 00:00' and `2022-12-11 00:00'
4344 / 79,981 * 100 = 5.43%
select count(1) from class where name = 'SQL TUNING'
2 / 1000 * 100 = .2%

Drive the Query with Class





Why Seq Scan on Registration?

Can't use Primary Key as class_id is not left leading column

	test= ‡ ∖d test	registration			
		Table "test.re	egistration"		
	Column	Туре	Collation	Nullable	Default
		-		+	+
	student_id	numeric(18,0)			
	class_id	numeric(18,0)			
	cancelled	character(1)	ĺ	ĺ	
	signup_date	timestamp without time zone			CURRENT_TIMESTAMP
ľ	Indexes :				
	"pk_regist	tration" UNIQUE CONSTRAINT, btm	ree (student	_id, class_:	id, signup_date)
	Foreign-key co	onstraints:			
	"registrat	tion_class_id_fkey" FOREIGN KEY	Y (class_id)	REFERENCES	test.class(class_id)
	"registrat	tion_student_id_fkey" FOREIGN H	KEY (student	id) REFERE	NCES test.student(student_id)
	Ū	/			, _ ,

Juest

- Not much difference in throughput 6k vs 5.4k (685 vs 695 buffers)
 - Needs more information to drive by Class

Add Index on Registration (Class_id) Quest



Add Covering Index on Registration

• create index REG_ALT on test.registration(class_id, student_id, signup_date) include (cancelled);

Quest

QUERY PLAN			
Nested Loop (cost=4.9944.26 rows=67 width=	35) (actual time=0.0990.455 rows=57 loops=1)		
Buffers: shared hit=183	ith 47) (actual time 0.004 - 0.470 mans 57 las		Shared Hits
Buffers: shared hit=12	vid(n=13) (actual time=0.0840.139 POWS=57 100	ps=1)	
-> <u>Bitmap Heap Scan on class c</u> (cost	t=4.2910.05 rows=2 width=5) (actual time=0.03	50.037 rows=2 loops=1)	IX 1 695
Recheck Cond: ((name)::text = '9	SQL TUNING'::text)		174 1. 000
Buffers: shared hit=4			12 2. 220
-> Bitmap Index Scan on cl_name	e (cost=0.004.29 rows=2 width=0) (actual tim	e=0.0280.028 rows=2 loops=1)	IA 2. 320
Index Cond: ((name)::text	= 'SQL TUNING'::text)		
-> Index Only Scan using reg alt on r	registration r (cost=0.425.83 rows=33 width=	18) (actual time=0.0300.045 rows=29 loops=2)	IX 3: 183
Index Cond: ((class_id = c.class	s_id)	,,,	
AND (signup_date >= '2	2014-03-21 00:00:00'::timestamp without time zo	ne)	
AND (Signup_date <= 2 Filter: (cancelled = 'N'::bnchar	2014-03-28 00:00:00 ::timestamp without time zo	ne))	
Heap Fetches: 0	'		
Buffers: shared hit=8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-> Index Scan using pk_student on student s	s (cost=0.290.33 rows=1 width=32) (actual ti	me=0.0050.005 rows=1 loops=57)	
Buffers: shared hit=171	1)		
Planning:			
Buffers: shared hit=28	Planning Time: 3.223 ms	Planning Time: 0.693 ms	
Execution Time: 0.533 ms	Execution Time: 0.833 ms	Execution Time: 32,553 ms	
	EXECUTION TIME: 01033 MS		



Case Study

Flights by City & Day of Week



SQL Taking the Most Time



Quest

Flights by City & Day of Week



get_pop_flight(_city varchar,_beg_date date, _end_date date,_day_of_week varchar, INOUT pop_flights refcursor) LANGUAGE 'plpgsgl'

AS \$BODY\$

BEGIN

OPEN pop flights FOR SELECT o.carrier, uc.description AS carrier name, o.fl date,o.fl num,o.tail num

,ao.description AS origin_airport,co.Description AS origin_city ,ad.description AS destination_airport

,cd.Description AS destination_city ,w.Description Day_of_Wed

```
FROM public.t_ontime o
```

```
INNER JOIN L_UNIQUE_CARRIERS AS uc ON uc.Code = o.UNIQUE_CARRIER
INNER JOIN L_AIRPORT_ID AS ao ON ao.Code = o.ORIGIN_AIRPORT_ID
INNER JOIN L_AIRPORT_ID AS ad ON ad.Code = o.DEST_AIRPORT_ID
INNER JOIN L_CITY_MARKET_ID AS co ON co.Code = o.ORIGIN_CITY_MARKET_ID
INNER JOIN L_CITY_MARKET_ID AS cd ON cd.Code = o.DEST_CITY_MARKET_ID
INNER JOIN L_WEEKDAYS AS w ON w.Code = o.DAY_OF_WEEK
where fl date BETWEEN _beg_date AND _end_date
```

AND co.Description = _city

AND w.Description = _day_of_week;

END;

\$BODY\$;

```
BEGIN;
CALL public.get_pop_flight('Little Rock, AR','2015-02-01','2015-0 16','Sunday','pop_flights');
fetch all in "pop_flights";
COMMIT;
```

)I IAST

Star Schema

Quest

US DOT - On-time Performance

MARKET_ID	

Code

Description

L	WEEKDAYS
	Code
	Description

L_	UNIQUE_CARRIERS		
	Code		^
	Description		¥
<		>	

L_	AIRPORT_ID
	Code
	Description

NTIM	E_2015
YEAR	
QUARTE	R
MONTH	I
DAY_OF	_MONTH
DAY_OF	_WEEK
FL_DATE	E
UNIQUE	_CARRIER
AIRLINE	_ID
CARRIE	2
TAIL_NU	M
L_NUM	1
ORIGIN_	AIRPORT_ID
ORIGIN_	AIRPORT_SEQ_ID
ORIGIN_	CITY_MARKET_ID
DEST_AI	RPORT_ID
DEST_AI	RPORT_SEQ_ID
DEST_CI	TY_MARKET_ID
ACTUAL	_ELAPSED_TIME
AIR_TIM	IE
DISTAN	CE
	CE_GROUP

L_UNIQUE_CARRIERS: 1620 L_AIRPORT_ID: 6438 L_CITY_MARKET_ID: 5823 L_WEEKDAYS: 8 T_ONTIME: 6784044

Examine the Explain Plan

	•	Quocť
	QUERY PLAN	ruest
	Nested Loop (cost=1016.79159139.35 rows=1 width=263) (actual time=769.8802069.920 rows=62 loops=1) Join Filter: (o.dest city market id = cd.code) Rows Removed by Join Filter: 360964	
	Buffers: shared hit=21617 read=103930 → Nested Loop (cost=1016.79158970.33 rows=1 width=250) (actual time=769.6171959.944 rows=62 loops=1) <u>Join Filter: (o.dest airport id = ad.code)</u> Rows Removed by Join Filter: 399094	
	Buffers: shared hit=19261 read=103930 -> Nested Loop (cost=1016.79.158767.48 rows=1 width=217) (actual time=769.2821829.759 rows=62 loops=1) Join Filter: (o.origin airport id = ao.code) Rows Removed by Join Filter: 399094	
	Butters: shared hit=15665 read=103930 -> Nested Loop (cost=1016.79158564.62 rows=1 width=184) (actual time=768.3531705.455 rows=62 loops=1) Join Filter: ((o.unique carrier)::bpchar = uc.code) Rows Removed by Join Filter: 100378 PostSerme actual kit doco presed doco200	
	>> Nested Loop (cost=1016.79158515.17 rows=1 width=167) (actual time=768.1951664.851 rows=62 loops=1) Join Filter: (o.origin city market id = co.code) Rows Removed by Join Filter: 32132 Putfama: changed bit=11362 page4103020	
	<pre>butters: Snared nite11205 reade10356 -> Seq Scan on 1_city_market_id_co (cost=0.00110.79 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=0.4481.428 rows=1 loops=1) Filter: ((description)::text = 'Little Rock, AR'::text) Rows Removed by Filter: 5822 Dutfance.texted bit 20</pre>	
2.07seconds	-> Gather (cost=1016.79.158360.67 rows=3497 width=154) (actual time=684.6801615.868 rows=32194 loops=1) Workers Planned: 2 Workers Launched: 2 Dufferer, teard that 41325 read 402020	
	Buffers: shared h1[=11225 read=103990 → <u>Hash Join</u> (cost=16.79157010.97 rows=1457 width=154) (actual time=559.6961481.533 rows=10731 loops=3) Hash Cond: (o.day_of_week = w.code) Buffers: shared hit=11225 read=103930	
	-> <u>Parallel Seq Scan on t_ontime o</u> (cost=0.00156615.38 rows=97130 width=40) (actual time=558.1481460.402 rows=76606 loops=3) Filter: ((fl_date >= '2015-02-01'::date) AND (fl_date <= '2015-02-16'::date)) Rows Removed by Filter: 2145399 Duffore: chand bit 11000 need 100020	
	Burfers: shared ht=1090 read=10930 -> <u>Hash</u> (cost=16.7516.75 rows=3 width=122) (actual time=0.9660.968 rows=1 loops=3) Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 9kB Buffers: shared htt=3 > Sea Scan on L weakdows w	
	(cost=0.0016.75 rows=3 width=122) (actual time=0.9340.936 rows=1 loops=3) Filter: ((description)::text = 'Friday'::text) Rows Removed by Filter: 7 Buffers: shared bit=3	
	-> Seq Scan on l unique carriers uc (cost=0.0029.20 rows=1620 width=31) (actual time=0.0040.237 rows=1620 loops=62) Buffers: shared hit=806 -> Seq Scan on l airport id ao (cost=0.00122.38 rows=6438 width=41) (actual time=0.0030.838 rows=6438 loops=62)	
	Buffers: shared hit=3596 -> Seq Scan on 1 airport id ad (cost=0.00122.38 rows=6438 width=41) (actual time=0.0030.836 rows=6438 loops=62) Buffers: shared hit=3596 -> Cost = 1 site of the set o	
	Seq Scan on 1 City market 10 Cd (Cost=0.0096.23 rows=5823 width=21) (actual time=0.0040./48 rows=5823 loops=62) Buffers: shared hit=2356	
	Planning Time: 2.060 ms Execution Time: 2070.099 ms	

Find the Driving Table





Filtering Selectivity

Tune the Query

Quest

Create index on T_ONTIME & L_CITY_MARKET_ID

- Create index CO_MARKET_DESC on public.L_CITY_MARKET_ID(description);
- create index OCO_MARKET_DESC on public.T_ONTIME(origin_city_market_id);
- create unique index PK_CITY_MARKET on public.L_CITY_MARKET_ID(code);

dot=# \d public.t_ontim	e Tabla "aublia tarat	·"]			
Column	I TVDE	ıme Collation				
	+	+				
year	integer	!				
quarter	integer					
day of month	integer					
day_of_month	l integer	dat	-# \d nubli	c l city market id		
fl date	l date		-+ (a babii			
unique carrier	character varying(10)	i 🕴		Table "public.l_ci	ty_market_id"	
airline id	integer	i k	Column	Type	Collation	Nullable
carrier	character varying(10)	i 1		- 17-		
tail_num	character varying(10)					
fl_num	integer	co	ode	integer		
origin_airport_id	integer	de	scrintion 1	character varving(100)	i i	i
origin_airport_seq_id	Integer		Ser iperon 1	character any ing(100)		1
origin_city_market_10	l integer	i itua	lexes :			
dest_airport_id	integer		"co market	desc" btree (description	n)	
dest_airport_seq_id	l integer	l	"nk city m	unkat" UNTOUE, htpag. (co	da)	
actual elapsed time	numeric(6.2)	i	pk_crty_m	arker outgoe, prise (co	ue)	
air time	numeric(6,2)	i •				
flights	numeric(6,2)	i				
distance	numeric(6,2)	i i				
distance_group	numeric					
total_add_gtime	numeric					
Indexes:						
"oco_market_desc" b	tree (origin_city_market	_id)				

	QUERY PLAN
Nested Loop (Cost=254./958285.68 Duffers, shared hit_8754 mood_3787	rows=1 w1dth=263) (actual time=3.836321.645 rows=62 loops=1)
Nosted Loop (cost=254 51 592	95 27 nove-1 width-250) (actual time-2 705 - 220 654 nove-62 loope-1)
-7 Mesteu Loop (Cost=254.51.502	3/37 rows=1 with (=2.50) (actual time=3.79)320.034 rows=02 roops=1)
Rows Removed by Join Filten:	
Ruffers: shared hit-8575 rea	1-750
-> Nested Loop (cost-254.5)	u-2700 1. 50082 52 pows-1 width-217) (artual time-2 872. 212 0/3 pows-62 loops-1)
loin Filter: (o origin	$\frac{1}{1000}$
Rows Removed by Join F	
Buffers: shared hit=49	79 read-2780
-> Nested Loop (cost)	-5-54-5157879.66 rows=1 width=184) (actual time=2.129101.717 rows=62 loops=1)
Join Filter: ((o	unique carrier): brochar = uc.code)
Rows Removed by	Join Filter: 100378
Buffers: shared	hit=1382 read=2780
-> Nested Loop	(cost=254.51.57830.21 rows=1 width=167) (actual time=2.01665.792 rows=62 loops=1)
Join Filte	r: (o.day of week = w.code)
Rows Remov	ed by Join Filter: 358
Buffers: s	hared hit=577 read=2780
-> Nested	Loop (cost=254,51,57811,66 rows=40 width=53) (actual time=1,964,.65,327 rows=420 loops=1)
Buff	ers: shared hit=577 read=2779
->	Index Scan using co market desc on l city market id co (cost=0.288.30 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=0.0630.066 rows=1 loops=1)
-	Index Cond: ((description)::text = Little Rock, AR ::text)
	Buffers: shared hit=1 read=2
->	Bitmap Heap Scan on t_ontime o (cost=254.2357795.26 rows=810 width=40) (actual time=1.89165.043 rows=420 loops=1)
-	Recheck Cond: (origin_city_market_id = co.code)
	Filter: ((fl_date >= '2015-02-01'::date) AND (fl_date <= '2015-02-16'::date))
	Rows Removed by Filter: 12533
	Heap Blocks: exact=3339
	Buffers: shared hit=576 read=2777
	-> Bitmap Index Scan on oco_market_desc (cost=0.00254.03 rows=23146 width=0) (actual time=1.2431.244 rows=12953 loops=1)
	Index Cond: (origin_city_market_id = co.code)
	Buffers: shared hit=1 read=13
-> Materi	<u>alize_</u> (cost=0.0016.77 rows=3 width=122) (actual time=0.0000.000 rows=1 loops=420)
Buff	ers: shared read=1
->	Seq Scan on l_weekdays w (cost=0.0016.75 rows=3 width=122) (actual time=0.0260.027 rows=1 loops=1)
	Filter: ((description)::text = 'Friday'::text)
	Rows Removed by Filter: 7
	Butters: shared read=1
-> Seq Scan on	l_unique_carriers uc (cost=0.0029.20 rows=1620 width=31) (actual time=0.0030.224 rows=1620 loops=62)
Butters: S	
-> seq scan on i_airp	UTC_10 40 (COST=0.00122.38 FONS=0438 WIGT=41) (ACTUAL TIME=0.0020.788 FONS=0438 100ps=02) N=1 = Fons
Sea Scan on L simport id	(11) = 3.570
Puffons: shaned hit-25	au (cost=0.00.1122.30 rows=0430 winth=41) (attual time=0.003.10.730 rows=0430 100ps=02)
-> Index Scan using pk city manker	zu an 1 city mankat id cd. (cost-0.28. 0.20. nows-1 width-21) (actual time-0.011. 0.011 nows-1 loons-62)
Index Cond: (code - o dest c	i u markat id)
Buffers: shared hit=179 read	
Planning:	Planning Time: 2.060 ms
Buffers: shared hit=5 read=15	Execution Time: 2070.099 ms
Planning Time: 4.337 ms	
Execution Time: 321.892 ms	

Adjust the index

• Create index OCO_MARKET_DESC_FL_DATE on public.T_ONTIME(origin_city_market_id, fl_date);

dot=# \d public.t_ontime	2					
	Table "public.t_ont:	ime"				
Column	Туре	Collation	Nullable			
	┝	•				
year	integer					
quarter	integer					
month	integer					
day_of_month	integer					
day_of_week	integer					
fl_date	date					
unique_carrier	character varying(10)					
airline_id	integer					
carrier	character varying(10)					
tail_num	character varying(10)					
fl num	integer					
orīgin airport id	integer	i i				
origin airport seq id	integer	i				
origin city market id	integer	i				
dest airport id	integer	i				
dest_airport_seg_id	integer	i	i i			
dest city market id	integer	i	i i			
actual elapsed time	numeric(6,2)	i				
air time	numeric(6,2)					
flights	numeric(6,2)					
distance	numeric(6,2)					
distance group	numeric	i				
total add gtime	numeric					
Indexes:						
"oco market desc fl	date" btree (origin city	v market id.	fl date)			
eco_market_acst_i1_aate beree (or iBin_city_market_ia) i1_aate)						

Quest

	QUERY PLAN
Neste	ed Loop (cost=3239.513435.51 rows=1 width=263) (actual time=2.87936.186 rows=62 loops=1)
Buf	ffers: shared hit=1236
->	Nested Loop (cost=3239.233435.21 rows=1 width=250) (actual time=2.86135.865 rows=62 loops=1)
	Join Filter: ((o.unique_carrier)::bpchar = uc.code)
	Rows Removed by Join Filter: 100378
	Buffers: shared hit=1050
	-> Hash Join (cost=3239.233385.76 rows=1 width=233) (actual time=2.5184.186 rows=62 loops=1)
	Hash Cond: (ad.code = o.dest_airport_id)
	Butters: shared hit=244
	-> Seq Scan on 1_airport_id ad (cost=0.00122.38 rows=6438 width=41) (actual time=0.0140.66/ rows=6438 loops=1) Buffers: shared hit=58
	-> Hash (cost=3239.223239.22 rows=1 width=200) (actual time=2.4702.473 rows=62 loops=1)
	Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 17kB
	Buffers: shared hit=186
	-> Hash Join (cost=3092.693239.22 rows=1 width=200) (actual time=1.5872.443 rows=62 loops=1)
	Hash Cond: (ao.code = o.origin_airport_id)
	Buffers: shared hit=186
	-> Seq Scan on l_airport_id ao (cost=0.00122.38 rows=6438 width=41) (actual time=0.0060.648 rows=6438 loops=1)
	Buffers: shared hit=58
	-> Hash (cost=3092.673092.67 rows=1 width=167) (actual time=0.7990.802 rows=62 loops=1)
	Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 14kB
	Butters: shared hit=128
	-> Nested Loop (COSt=15.04392.6/ POWS=1 Width=16/) (actual time=0.1330./65 POWS=62 loops=1)
	Join Filter: (0.0ay or week = w.code)
	Rows Reliaved by Join Filler: 358
	Durrers; Shareu HIT=120 > Nactad Loop (cast=15.04 - 2024 12 nave=40 width=52) (astwol time=0.407 - 0.521 nave=420 loops=1)
	-/ Mested LOUP (LOS(=1):04:.30/4.12 (WS=40 WID(H=33) (altual time=0.10/0.331 (WS=420 100ps=1) Duffore: chand bit=137
	ourrers, sharewinterizz N Enday Scap using compared dosc on 1 city mankat id co (cost-0.28, 8.30 nous-1 width-21) (actual time-0.030, 0.000 nous-1 loons-1)
	They condider the contract of
	Buffers: shared bit=3
	\rightarrow Bitmap Heap Scan on t ontime o (cost=14.76, 3057.72 rows=810 width=40) (actual time=0.060, 0.304 rows=420 loops=1)
	Recheck Cond: ((origin city market id = co.code) AND (fl date >= '2015-02-01'::date) AND (fl date <= '2015-02-16'::date))
	Heap Blocks: exact=120
	Buffers: shared hit=124
	-> Bitmap Index Scan on oco_market_desc fl date (cost=0.0014.56 rows=810 width=0) (actual time=0.0380.038 rows=420 loops=1)
	Index Cond: ((origin_city_market_id = co.code) AND (fl_date >= '2015-02-01'::date) AND (fl_date <= '2015-02-16'::date))
	Buffers: shared hit=4
	-> Materialize (cost=0.0016.77 rows=3 width=122) (actual time=0.0000.000 rows=1 loops=420)
	Buffers: shared hit=1
	-> Seq Scan on l_weekdays w (cost=0.0016.75 rows=3 width=122) (actual time=0.0110.012 rows=1 loops=1)
	Filter: ((description)::text = 'Friday'::text)
	Rows Removed by Filter: 7
	Buffers: shared hit=1
	<u>-> Seq Scan on l unique carriers uc</u> (cost=0.0029.20 rows=1620 width=31) (actual time=0.0020.195 rows=1620 loops=62)
	Buffers: shared hit=806
->	Index Scan using pk_city_market on l_city_market_id cd (cost=0.28.0.30 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=0.004.0.004 rows=1 loops=62)
	Index Cond: (code = o.dest_city_market_id)
1	Butters: shared hit=186
Lann	
But	Trers: shareu nit=28 41.047 ms Previous> 320.223 IIIS 2.07.3
-rann	
execu	

Engineer out the Stupid



• No Primary or Foreign Keys! (See appendix for more Stupid Things)

dot-≇ \di+ public.*										
	List of relations									
Schema	Name	Туре	Owner	Table	Persistence	Access method	Size			
4		F	+	+	+	+	+			
public	oco_market_desc_fl_date	index	postgres	t_ontime	permanent	btree	47 MB			
public	pk_city_market	index	postgres	l_city_market_id	permanent	btree	144 kB			
public	pk l airport	index	postgres	l airport id	permanent	btree	160 kB			
public	pk_l_unique_carriers	index	postgres	l_unique_carriers	permanent	btree	72 kB			
public	pk_weekdays	index	postgres	1_weekdays	permanent	btree	16 kB			
(5 rows)										
. ,										

	Table "public.t_ontime"						
Add PKs & FKs	Column	Туре	Collation	Nullable	Default		
Add PKs & FKs	year quarter month day_of_month Indexes: "oco_market_desc_fl_ Foreign-key constraints "fk_airline_id" FOR	integer integer integer integer date" btree (origin_cit IGN KEY (origin_airport	+ y_market_id, _id)_REFEREN	fl_date)	*t_id(code)		
	<pre>^port_id(code) _market_id(code) city_market_id(code) ue_carriers(code)</pre>						

QUERY PLAN
Nested Loop (cost=15.893184.20 rows=5 width=263) (actual time=0.3591.710 rows=62 loops=1) Buffers: shared hit=907
-> Nested Loop (cost=15.603182.69 rows=5 width=250) (actual time=0.3521.589 rows=62 loops=1)
Butters: snared hit=/21 -> Nested Loop (cost=15.323181.19 rows=5 width=217) (actual time=0.3441.466 rows=62 loops=1)
Buffers: shared hit=535
-> Nested Loop (cost=15.043179.68 rows=5 width=184) (actual time=0.3331.350 rows=62 loops=1) Buffers: shared hit=349
-> Nested Loop (cost=14.763178.20 rows=5 width=167) (actual time=0.3120.989 rows=62 loops=1)
JOIN FILTEP: (w.code = 0.day_or_week) Reas Removed by Join Filter, 258
Buffers: shared hit = 163
-> Seq Scan on l_weekdays w (cost=0.001.10 rows=1 width=122) (actual time=0.0160.017 rows=1 loops=1) Filter: ((description)::text = 'Friday'::text)
Rows Removed by Filter: 7
Buffers: shared hit=1
-> Nested Loop (cost=14.763176.60 rows=40 width=53) (actual time=0.2910.937 rows=420 loops=1) Buffers: shared hit=162
-> Seq Scan on l_city_market_id co (cost=0.00110.79 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=0.2370.617 rows=1 loops=1)
Filter: ((description)::text = 'Little Rock, AR'::text)
Rows Removed by Filter: 5822
Butters: shared hit=38 N Bittara Hean Scan on t entime a (cost-14 76 2057 72 news-810 width-40) (actual time-0.040, 0.210 news-420 loops-1)
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
AND (f1 date $>=$ '2015-02-01'::date) AND (f1 date $<=$ '2015-02-16'::date))
Heap Blocks: exact=120
Buffers: shared hit=124
-> <u>Bitmap Index Scan on oco market desc fl dat</u> e (cost=0.0014.56 rows=810 width=0) (actual time=0.0300.030 rows=420 loops=1)
Index Cond: $((origin_city_market_1d = co.code)$
A DU (TI_dale >= 2013-02-01 ;:dale) AND (TI_dale <= 2013-02-10 ::dale)) Ruffans: charad hit_d
-> Index Scan using pk unique carriers on unique carriers uc (cost=0.280.30 rows=1 width=31) (actual time=0.0050.005 rows=1 loops=62)
Index Cond: (code = (o.unique_carrier)::Dechar)
Buffers: shared hit=186
-> Index Scan using pk_l_airport on l_airport_id ao_ (cost=0.280.30 rows=1 width=41) (actual time=0.0010.001 rows=1 loops=62)
Index Cond: (code = o.origin_airport_id)
BUTTERS: SHAFED HILE180 -> Index Scan using nk l airport on l airport id ad (cost-0 28 0 30 pows-1 width-01) (actual time-0 002 0 002 pows-1 loops-62)
Index Godi (code = o.dest airport id)
Buffers: shared hit=186
-> Index Scan using pk_city_market on l_city_market_id cd (cost=0.280.30 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=0.0010.001 rows=1 loops=62)
Index Cond: (code = o.dest_city_market_id)
Butters: shared hit=186
Planning Time: 2,462 ms 1.28 ms 2.07 S 2.07 S
Execution Time: 1.818 ms

Best Average Time

Quest



Entire Tuning Effects on Workload



Summary

- Monitor Wait time
- Review the Execution Plan
 - Look for Costly Steps
- Gather Object Info
- Find the Driving Table
- Engineer out the Stupid
 - Common mistakes
- Compare your Tuning Results
 - Brag about Yourself ... No one else will!



References & Additional Info

- PostgreSQL Query Optimization The Ultimate Guide...
- PostgreSQL Cheatsheet
- Dynamic & Collected Statistics Collection Configuration
- Working With Postgres WAL Made Easy 101
- <u>Autovacuum Configuration Information</u>
- Routine Vacuuming & Analyze
- Appendix

Quest

- More Stupid Mistakes
- More Explain Common Mistakes
- Examine the Explain Plan of OLAP Queries

Thank YOU!!!

Not using appropriate indexes slows query & increases database workload

- Try using SQL Diagraming techniques to find the best index to drive the least amount of data required
- Too many indexes can increase the time spent on DML operations
- Using the wrong type of index e.g. B-tree index for Full-text search queries

Data type mismatch

- Comparing columns with wrong data types can lead to errors or incorrect results (implicit conversions)
- Make sure that the data types of the columns being compared or combined in the query are compatible

Not utilizing referential integrity

- Generic table design (i.e. PK tag, value)
- No primary or foreign keys, etc...

Common Postgres Beginner Mistakes & Best Practices

Don't Do This! (PostgreSQL Mistakes & How to Avoid Them

Explain Plan - Look for Common MistakesJest

Identify Common Mistakes

- Using functions on indexed columns
 - In WHERE, ON & HAVING clause
 - Create a Functional Index instead
 - > Create index lower_title_idx on film(lower(title));
- Nested views
 - One view calling or joining to other views
- Use of cursors or row by row processing
- Missing or Poor Indexing
- Problems Outside of the Plan
 - Missing or stale statistics
 - Database misconfiguration
 - No database constraints

Examine the Explain Plan of OLAP Queriesest

Consider using set operations

- Instead of NOT EXISTS or NOT IN use EXCEPT
- Instead of EXISTS or IN use INTERSECT
- Instead of complex OR use UNION
- Review join order, try for most selective join first

• Avoid multiple scans on same table

- Sometimes a design issue where generic table is designed
 - PK, tag, value
- Consider Temp tables, CTEs & Materialized views on OLAP queries
 - No indexes or statistics on temp tables be careful of large temp tables
 - Can cause excessive I/O because of writes to disk
 - CTEs can prevent Optimizer from choosing join order
 - Dombrovskaya, Henrietta; Bailliekova, Anna. PostgreSQL Query Optimization: The Ultimate Guide to Building Efficient Queries (p. 121). Apress. Kindle Edition.

More Infor on Wait Events

• RDS for PostgreSQL wait events

- <u>Aurora PostgreSQL wait events</u>
- <u>https://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/monitoring-stats.html</u>
 - Blocking Locks Query

select pid,

usename,

pg_blocking_pids(pid) as blocked_by, query as blocked guery

from pg_stat_activity

where cardinality(pg_blocking_pids(pid)) > 0;

dot=# \i pg_lock.sql										
pid	usename	blocked_by	blocked_query							
6352 (1 row)	postgres)	{10228}	update public.t_ontime set day_of_week = 9 where day_of_week =1;							

)I IOST

- Waiting to read data from the client (either too much data or client is slow)

select datname, pid, usename, application_name, wait_event, wait_event_type, query_start, state_change, state, query

from pg_catalog.pg_stat_activity;

dot=∦ \i p datname	pg_wait.sql pid usename query	application_name	wait_event	wait_event_type	query_start		state_change	state	l
	3356 2892 postgres		AutoVacuumMain LogicalLauncherMain	Activity Activity					
dot	9716 postgres	psql	ClientRead	Client	2024-04-15 21:04:34.452726+00	2024-04-	15 21:04:34.472967+00	idle in transact	tion fetch all in "pop_flights";